arXiv:1604.07192v1 [gr-qc] 25 Apr 2016

SOURCE INTEGRALS OF MULTIPOLE MOMENTS FOR STATIC SPACE–TIMES

J.L. Hernández–Pastora*, J. Martín–Martín† and E. Ruiz †
Universidad de Salamanca. Salamanca, Spain.

April 26, 2016

Abstract

The definition of Komar for the mass of a relativistic source is used as a starting point to introduce volume integrals for Relativistic Multipole Moments (RMM). A certain generalization of the classical Gauss theorem is used to rewrite these multipole moments as integrals over a surface at the infinity. Therefore it is shown that the above generalization leads to Asymptotic Relativistic Multipole Moments (ARMM), recovering the multipoles of Geroch or Thorne, when the integrals are evaluated in asympotically cartesian harmonic coordinates. Relationships regarding the Thorne definition and the classical theory of moments are shown.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.20.-q, 4.20.Ha, 95.30.Sf.

1 Introduction

The early definition of relativistic multipole moments (RMM) for vacuum static asymptotically flat space-time set out in the pioneering work of Geroch [1]. This definition has been extended later by Geroch and Hansen [2] to the stationary case. They have also been defined by Thorne [3], and there are other definitions [4, 5] or approaches [6, 7] for their calculation.

These multipole moments have been very useful especially in the case of axial symmetry to describe in terms of them some physical quantities of gravitating sources. They can be used to rewrite observable measurable physical features of the compact object by means of test particles orbiting around that source of the gravitational field in study (precession, geodesic deviation, ISCOs, ...) [8, 9]. These estimates and calculations have been carried out because vacuum solutions constructed in terms of the RMM have been arranged trying to describe space-times representing deviations from the spherical symmetry case given by the Schwarzschild solution (see for instance [10]).

In classical gravity multipole moments have a double meaning that allow us to identify these quantities not only with the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the gravitational potential but also with the source of the field through integral expressions extended over the volume of the gravitating object. There is current

*Departamento de Matemática Aplicada. E.T.S. Ingeniería Industrial de Béjar, and IUFFyM. e-mail address: jlhp@usal.es
†Instituto Universitario de Física Fundamental y Matemáticas (IUFFyM)

interest in resuming work to link the RMM to gravitational sources (in general relativity), so that we can relate these quantities defined on the outside with physical magnitudes of the stellar object and the source itself. In this sense Gürlebeck [11] gets to express Newtonian multipole moments of the Weyl metrics as a source integral. In the relativistic case we have not even precise definitions that allow us to write RMM as integrals over the sources, and that is the main objective of this work.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to show the generalized Gauss theorem (GGT) in classical gravity. Firstly, we remember the approach of the multipole series of the gravitational field generated by a compact source, insisting the fact that the asymptotic moments are also integrals extended over the volume of the stellar object that creates the field. In particular, we restrict ourselves to the case of sources with axial symmetry, which is the usual symmetry in astronomical objects. In Section 3 the Einstein equations for a static space-time, domain within this work shall be restricted, are explained. These equations are the basis of subsequent developments and they are written in two different ways; either by using the quotient metric related to the time-like Killing congruence or by using a conformal metric.

In Section 4 the definition of Komar mass [12] and its connection with Tolman mass [13] is addressed. It is also shown that, as is well known, the result of Komar for the static case is simply the relativistic version of the Gauss theorem, which serves as the basis for subsequent sections. Section 5 contains the main contribution of this work. By taking as a starting point the Komar mass, source integrals of static multipole moments are proposed. In addition, the structure of Weyl metrics in harmonic coordinates, previously obtained in [14, 15], can be used to prove that these integrals also provide the asymptotic Thorne moments in the axially symmetric case. The computation is performed by using both the quotient metric as well as a conformal metric reaching the conclusion that the use of the last one is more satisfactory for our purposes. This result should be considered as the relativistic version of the generalized Gauss theorem (RGGT).

In Section 6 we revisit the integrals used by Thorne to define static multipole moments and show that RGGT can be trivially applied to obtain coincidence with the asymptotic moments. Finally, the Appendix is devoted to detail the approximate expressions obtained in previous works for the Weyl metric when it is developed in spherical harmonic coordinates, up to order nine in the inverse of the radial coordinate.

Latin indices $i, j, k, \ldots$ take values $1, 2, 3$. Greek ones $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu, \ldots$ carry from 0 to 3. Einstein summation rule is used for equal indexes in different positions. The signature of the space-time is $(-, +, +, +)$ and we use relativistic units such that $G = c = 1$.

2 Generalized Gauss theorem in classical gravity

2.1 The Poisson equation and the Gauss theorem

In the newtonian theory the gravitational field $\vec{g}$ associated to an isolated compact stellar object is determined, up to a sign, by the gradient of a potential $\Phi(\vec{x})$ which

satisfies the Poisson equation:

$$\Delta\Phi = 4\pi\,\mu(\vec{x})\ , \tag{1}$$

where Δ represents the ordinary Laplacian operator in three dimensional space and $\mu(\vec{x})$ denotes the density of the object, which is a function of the position vector $\vec{x}$ and it vanishes outwards from the source.

As is well known, an immediate consequence of the equation (1) is the classical Gauss theorem so that, according to it, the field flow throughout the surface boundary ∂V of any volume V containing the source is proportional to its mass. Indeed, according to (1) and the definition of the Laplacian operator, the mass M can be written as follows:

$$M = \int_V \mu(\vec{x})\, d^3\vec{x} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_V \mathrm{div}\,\mathrm{grad}\Phi\, d^3\vec{x}\ , \tag{2}$$

and taking into account the divergence theorem of Gauss

$$\oint_{\partial V} \vec{g}\cdot d\vec{\sigma} = -4\pi M\ , \tag{3}$$

where $d\vec{\sigma}$ is the surface element of the boundary ∂V.

It should be specified here that stellar objects are *self-gravitating*, and so their density not only depends, in general, on the position but also on the potential Φ itself. Indeed, let us consider for example a static barotropic perfect fluid object and consequently with spherical symmetry, that is,

$$\mu = \mu(p)\ ,\quad p(r)\ ,\quad \mu(r)\ ,\quad \Phi(r)\ ,\quad r \equiv |\vec{x}|\ , \tag{4}$$

p denoting the pressure. Now taking into account the Euler equation

$$dp = -\mu(p)\, d\Phi \tag{5}$$

the following conclusion is obtained by integration:

$$p = f(\Phi_\Sigma - \Phi) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu = g(\Phi_\Sigma - \Phi)\ , \tag{6}$$

i.e., f and g are certain functions of that argument where Φ_Σ is the potential (constant) on the surface of the star ($p = 0$). Therefore we conclude that, in general, the Poisson equation is non-linear $\big($see for instance Lane–Emden equation in [16]$\big)$.

2.2 Lagrange–Poisson integral. Multipole series

The Poisson equation can be reversed thanks to the Green function of the Laplacian operator, allowing us to rewrite the potential $\Phi(\vec{x})$ by means of the Lagrange–Poisson integral:

$$\Phi(\vec{x}) = -\int \frac{\mu(\vec{y})}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}\, d^3\vec{y}\,, \tag{7}$$

where the integral extends to all space and having demanded the potential to be null at infinity, so that Φ turns out to be at least of class C^1.

The integral (7) is the basis for the asymptotic multipole development of the potential. Indeed, the Green function supports the following series expansion

$$\frac{1}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\left.\frac{\partial\big(1/|\vec{x}-\vec{y}\,|\big)}{\partial y^{i_1}\cdots\partial y^{i_n}}\right|_{y=0}y^{i_1}y^{i_2}\cdots y^{i_n}. \tag{8}$$

Now, it is trivial to see that

$$\left.\frac{\partial\big(1/|\vec{x}-\vec{y}\,|\big)}{\partial y^{i_1}\cdots\partial y^{i_n}}\right|_{y=0}=(-1)^n\frac{\partial\,1/r}{\partial x^{i_1}\cdots\partial x^{i_n}}=\frac{(2n-1)!!}{r^{n+1}}n_{i_1i_2\ldots i_n}\ , \tag{9}$$

where

$$n_{i_1i_2\ldots i_l}\equiv\left(n_{i_1}n_{i_2}\cdots n_{i_l}\right)^{\rm TF}\ ,\quad n^i\equiv x^i/r\ . \tag{10}$$

These indexes are risen and lowered with the Euclidean metric δ_{ij} and the notation TF (trace free) means *traceless part* (obviously we are using Cartesian coordinates everywhere). Substituting now (8) and (9) in the integral (7), the following expression is obtained for the potential:

$$\Phi(\vec{x})=-\frac{M}{r}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(2n-1)!!}{n!}\frac{1}{r^{n+1}}\,M^{i_1\ldots i_n}\,n_{i_1\ldots i_n}\ , \tag{11}$$

where

$$M^{i_1\ldots i_n}\equiv\int_V y^{i_1\ldots i_n}\,\mu(\vec{y})\,d^3\vec{y}\ ,\quad y^{i_1\ldots i_n}\equiv\left(y^{i_1}y^{i_2}\cdots y^{i_n}\right)^{\rm TF}\ , \tag{12}$$

$M^{i_1\ldots i_n}$ being the multipole moment of order n, which is obviously a completely symmetric object without trace. Consequently, it is clear that it only has $2n+1$ independent components.

In view of the above it is important to remember that the multipole moments have a double meaning. On the one hand they are integrals over the source that measure the deviation from the spherical symmetry and on the other hand they are the coefficients of an asymptotic development in terms of a series expansion.

A classic question now arises. Do multipole moments completely determine the source? The theory of function moments ensures that the set of *all mathematical moments* of the density $\mu(\vec{x})$ fixes it completely. Nevertheless the multipole moments $M^{i_1\ldots i_n}$ are completely symmetric traceless quantities, so they are only a part of all mathematical moments. Accordingly, they do not fully determine the source, i.e., many different sources may exist which lead to the same potential (11). It is concluded easily that multipole moments describe only the "skeleton" of the source, i.e., the following distribution density [17]

$$\mu(\vec{x})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}M^{k_1\cdots k_n}\partial_{k_1\cdots k_n}\delta(\vec{x})\ , \tag{13}$$

$\delta(\vec{x})$ being the Dirac delta function and $\partial_k\equiv\partial/\partial x^k$.

2.3 Generalized Gauss theorem (GGT)

The expression (13) shows that the first element of "skeleton" of the stellar object that creates the gravitational field is simply its mass (monopole moment). On the other hand Gauss theorem states that mass is proportional to the flow of the field (which is, up to a sign, the derivative of the potential) throughout any closed surface containing the star. So naturally the question is whether the remaining elements, i.e., the other multipole moments, can be expressed by means of flows of some expression containing the potential and its derivative. The answer lies in what we call *generalized Gauss theorem*, which is probably well known, but it does not appear in standard texts [1]. The proof of this theorem is almost as simple as ordinary Gauss theorem proof itself. In fact, it starts from the following identity:

$$x^{i_1\dots i_n}\Delta\Phi = \partial^k\Big[x^{i_1\dots i_n}\,\partial_k\Phi - \Phi\,\partial_k x^{i_1\dots i_n}\Big] + \Phi\Delta x^{i_1\dots i_n}\ , \tag{14}$$

and, in addition, it is easy to show the following equation:

$$\Delta x^{i_1\dots i_n} = 0\ , \tag{15}$$

thus, taking into account the definition of moments (12) as well as the Poisson equation (1) and the divergence theorem, the desired result is obtained:

$$M^{i_1\dots i_n} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\oint\big[x^{i_1\dots i_n}\partial_k\Phi - \Phi\partial_k x^{i_1\dots i_n}\big]d\sigma^k\ . \tag{16}$$

That is, as it happens with the mass, higher-order multipole moments can be expressed as the flow, throughout any surface containing the star, of certain combination of the potential and its gradient.

It is important to note that in the above demonstration the Poisson equation plays an essential role and, in addition, the definition of moments (12) is a starting point, i.e., they are considered as integrals over the source. It is checked without difficulty that flows (16) coincide with the coefficients (moments) of the asymptotic multipole expansion (11), whereby the consistency of the result is ensured.

• Axial symmetry

We are going to take interest only in the case where the source of the field possess axial symmetry, which is the usual symmetry of celestial objects. In this case multipole moments have the following structure

$$M^{k_1\dots k_n} \equiv M_n\, e^{k_1\dots k_n}\ , \quad e^{k_1\dots k_n} \equiv \big(e^{k_1}e^{k_2}\cdots e^{k_n}\big)^{\rm TF}\ , \tag{17}$$

where e^k is a unit vector along the positive direction of the symmetry axis, so that the corresponding moment has a single component M_n. Now, considering the formula

$$e^{k_1\dots k_n}\, n_{k_1\dots k_n} = \frac{n!}{(2n-1)!!}P_n(\cos\theta)\ , \tag{18}$$

[1] We had knowledge of this theorem thanks to a preprint of Augusto Espinoza (Universidad Zapoteca). Private communication

where P_n is a Legendre polynomial and θ the polar angle with respect to the symmetry axis, turns out that the multipole expansion (11) leads to the following expression

$$\Phi(\vec{x}) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_n}{r^{n+1}} P_n(\cos\theta) \ . \tag{19}$$

Furthermore, using the formula

$$e^{k_1 \ldots k_n} e_{k_1 \ldots k_n} = \frac{n!}{(2n-1)!!} \ , \tag{20}$$

it is obtained from (17) and (12), the following expression for the moment M_n as a source integral:

$$M_n = \frac{(2n-1)!!}{n!} M^{k_1 \ldots k_n} e_{k_1 \ldots k_n} = \int_D \mu(r,\theta)\, r^n P_n(\cos\theta)\, d^3\vec{x} \ , \quad M_0 \equiv M \ . \tag{21}$$

As regards the generalized Gauss theorem (16), it is now written (axial symmetry) as follows

$$M_n = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \Big\{ r^n P_n(\cos\theta)\, \partial_k \Phi - \Phi\, \partial_k \big[r^n P_n(\cos\theta) \big] \Big\} d\sigma^k \ . \tag{22}$$

Given the formulas below (e^k_θ is the unit vector in the direction of the meridians):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_k f(r,\theta) = \partial_r f \partial_k r + \partial_\theta f \partial_k \theta = \partial_r f\, n_k + \partial_\theta f\, \dfrac{1}{r} e_{\theta k} \\ d\sigma^k = n^k d\sigma = n^k r^2 \sin\theta d\theta d\varphi \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

and using a sphere of radius r as surface of integration, the following expression is obtained:

$$M_n = \frac{1}{2}\, r^{n+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} P_n(\cos\theta) \left(r\partial_r \Phi - n\Phi \right) d(\cos\theta) \ . \tag{24}$$

Verification that (24) reproduces the coefficients of the asymptotic development is trivial. Indeed, taking into account (19) the following is obtained:

$$r\partial_r \Phi - n\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q+1+n) M_q}{r^{q+1}} P_q(\cos\theta) \ , \tag{25}$$

thus, substituting in (24) and recalling the relationship of orthogonality

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} P_n(\cos\theta) P_q(\cos\theta) d(\cos\theta) = \frac{2}{2n+1} \delta_{nq} \ , \tag{26}$$

the desired verification is concluded.

3 Static fields. Einstein equations

As already announced in the Introduction, one of the aims of this work is to look for a possible relativistic extension of the generalized Gauss theorem in the static case. This means that we will consider a gravitational static compact source, which obviously will generate a static and asymptotically flat space–time.

A space–time of this type is characterized by the existence of an integrable time–like Killing vector field, i.e., it supports orthogonal space–like hypersurfaces (we assume that all of this has a global character). In an asymptotically cartesian coordinate system adapted to the Killing, the metric is written as follows:

$$ds^2 = g_{00}(x^k)dt^2 + g_{ij}(x^k)dx^i dx^j \ , \quad G = c = 1 \ , \tag{27}$$

with the conditions

$$g_{00} \equiv -\xi^2 < 0 \ , \quad \hat{g}_{ij}(x^k)dx^i dx^j > 0 \ , \quad \hat{g}_{ij} \equiv g_{ij} \ , \tag{28}$$

$$g_{00} \to -1 + 2\frac{m}{r} \ , \quad g_{ij} \to \delta_{ij} + 2\frac{m}{r}n_i n_j \ , \tag{29}$$

where m is the total mass–energy of the system, r is the associated radial coordinate and n_i is the unit radial vector at infinity.

In this case, the Einstein equations for the quotient metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$ are written as follows $\big($see [18] for instance $\big)$:

$$(V_3, \hat{g}) : \begin{cases} \hat{\Delta}\xi = 4\pi\xi(-T_0^0 + \hat{T}) \equiv 4\pi\hat{\rho}_{\rm to} \\ \hat{R}_{ij} - \xi^{-1}\hat{\nabla}_i\partial_j\xi = 8\pi\left(T_{ij} - \dfrac{1}{2}Tg_{ij}\right) \end{cases} , \tag{30}$$

where $\hat{\nabla}_i$ denotes covariant derivative with respect to $\hat{g}_{ij}$, $\hat{\Delta} \equiv \hat{\nabla}_i\hat{\nabla}^i$ is the Laplacian operator and $\hat{R}_{ij}$ is the Ricci tensor. The sub-index *"to"* by the density $\hat{\rho}_{\rm to}$ refers to Tolman [13], as we will justify in the next section. Finally, T^α_β is the energy-momentum tensor, $T \equiv T^\alpha_\alpha$ and $\hat{T} \equiv T^i_i$ being the traces. In the particular case of a perfect fluid we have that (u^α being the quadri-vector of the congruence)

$$\begin{cases} T^\alpha_\beta = \rho u^\alpha u_\beta + p\big(\delta^\alpha_\beta + u^\alpha u_\beta\big) \\ u^\alpha = \xi^{-1}\delta^\alpha_{(0)} \ , \quad u_\beta = -\xi\,\delta^{(0)}_\beta \end{cases} . \tag{31}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ \hat{\rho}_{\rm to} = \xi\Big[+\rho + p(-1+1) + 3p\Big] = \xi\big(\rho + 3p\big) \tag{32}$$

If one takes into account the Euler equations, the non–linearity of the Poisson equation for the self–gravitating case is obviously shown, since the density turns out to be a non trivial function of the potential ξ.

Some authors [1, 3, 19] consider that it is more convenient the use of the conformal metric $\bar{g}_{ij} \equiv \xi^2\hat{g}_{ij}$ instead of the metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$. In the case of the reference [19] is argued that $\bar{g}_{ij}$ must be regarded as the authentic space metric since the non-relativistic

limit of general relativity should lead not only to the classical Poisson equation but also to a flat three-dimensional space. References [1, 3] are restricted, however, to use $\bar{g}_{ij}$ for mathematical convenience. An usual computation shows that henceforth the Einstein equations are written as follows:

$$(V_3, \bar{g}) : \begin{cases} \bar{\triangle} \log \xi = 4\pi \xi^{-2}(-T_0^0 + \hat{T}) \equiv 4\pi \bar{\rho}_{\rm to} \\ \bar{R}_{ij} - 2\partial_i \log \xi \, \partial_j \log \xi = 8\pi (T_{ij} - \hat{T} g_{ij}) \end{cases} . \tag{33}$$

Let us note that densities $\hat{\rho}_{\rm to}$ and $\bar{\rho}_{\rm to}$ are related to each other as follows:

$$\bar{\rho}_{\rm to} \sqrt{\bar{g}} = \hat{\rho}_{\rm to} \sqrt{\hat{g}} \ . \tag{34}$$

We will see later the influence of the use of one or another metric in what we will call "relativistic generalized Gauss theorem" (RGGT).

4 Mass and flow of Komar

The quantity usually called Komar mass is defined in the original article [12] by means of the following expression:

$$M_K = \frac{1}{\chi} \int_{\Sigma_3} \nabla_\lambda \big(\nabla^\lambda \xi^\mu - \nabla^\mu \xi^\lambda \big) d\sigma_\mu \ , \tag{35}$$

ξ^λ being the infinitesimal generator of certain transformation, $\chi = 8\pi$ the Einstein constant of gravitation and $d\sigma_\lambda$ the normal 1–form to a three–dimensional surface Σ_3 of the space–time considered, i.e,

$$d\sigma_\lambda = \frac{1}{3!} \eta_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \, dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho \ , \tag{36}$$

where $\eta_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}$ denotes the element of volume and $\wedge$ the exterior product. We assume that Σ_3 represents the whole ordinary three-dimensional space in a certain admissible coordinate system or at least a part of it.

Using the covariant Gauss theorem for the divergence, the three–dimensional volume integral (35) can be written as a flow integral throughout the two–dimensional surface $\Sigma_2 = \partial \Sigma_3$. The following result is obtained:

$$M_K = -\frac{1}{\chi} \oint_{\Sigma_2 = \partial \Sigma_3} \nabla^\lambda \xi^\mu \, d\sigma_{\lambda\mu} \ , \tag{37}$$

$d\sigma_{\lambda\mu}$ being the 2-form normal to Σ_2, i.e.,

$$d\sigma_{\lambda\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} \, dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta \ , \tag{38}$$

or equivalently, in three-dimensional common language, the normal vector to the surface.

We are interested in the case where ξ^α is a Killing vector field, so we have

$$\nabla_\alpha \xi_\beta + \nabla_\beta \xi_\alpha = 0 \ \Rightarrow \ \nabla_\lambda \nabla^\lambda \xi_\mu = -\xi^\rho R_{\rho\mu} \ , \tag{39}$$

and thus, given Einstein equations, the integral of Komar (35) is written as follows:

$$M_K = -2\int_{\Sigma_3}\left(\xi^\lambda T^\mu_\lambda - \frac{1}{2}T\xi^\mu\right)d\sigma_\mu \ . \tag{40}$$

If ξ^α is the time-like killing vector of a stationary space–time and we use coordinates adapted to it ($\xi^\alpha = \delta^\alpha_0$), and the three-surface is $\Sigma_3 : x^0 = \text{Cte}$, the following expression is obtained:

$$M_K = \int_{\Sigma_3}\left(-T^0_0 + \hat{T}\right)\xi\, d^3\vec{x} \ , \tag{41}$$

which coincides with the Tolman mass [13], and hence the use of sub–index *"to"* in the density $\hat{\rho}_{\text{to}}$ (30) is justified. The following notation has been used:

$$\hat{T} \equiv T^i_i \ , \quad \xi \equiv \sqrt{-\xi_\alpha\xi^\alpha} = \sqrt{-g_{00}} \ , \quad d^3\vec{x} \equiv dx^1\wedge dx^2\wedge dx^3 \ . \tag{42}$$

Given the above, when the space-time is generated by a compact object we end up with the following conclusions:

a) If the energy–momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is zero outwards from the stellar object (no electromagnetic field) then the Komar flow (35) is independent of Σ_2 and in addition it can be expressed as a volume integral there on $\big($the result of Tolman [13]$\big)$

b) If $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is **not** zero in the exterior (existence of eletromagnetic field) then the Komar flow defines the mass by taking the sphere of infinity (assuming that space-time is asymptotically flat and $T_{\alpha\beta}$ goes to zero quickly enough).

In order to illustrate these ideas we present below the expression of Komar mass for the Kerr–Newman metric [20] written in Boyer–Lindquist coordinates (the computation is annoying, but is a straightforward calculation by using a computer)

$$M_K = m - \frac{e^2}{2r} - \frac{e^2}{2a}\left(1+\frac{a^2}{r^2}\right)\text{arctg}\frac{a}{r} \ , \tag{43}$$

where Σ_3 has been considerd to be a regular sphere of radio r (radial coordinate) and the parameters $\{m, a, e\}$ denote, as usual, mass, angular momentum per unit of mass, and electric charge respectively. Meanwhile r remains finite both the charge and angular momentum contribute to the Komar mass. Nevertheless, as we go to infinity ($r \to \infty$) the following result is obtained:

$$M_K = m - \frac{e^2}{r} - \frac{e^2a^2}{3r^3}\left[1 + O\Big(\frac{a}{r}\Big)\right] \ \to \ m \ , \tag{44}$$

i.e. Komar mass is reduced to the parameter m.

It is worthwhile noticing that, at least in the case of a static space-time ($g_{0j} = 0$ in coordinates adapted to the time-like Killing vector), the result of Komar is simply the gravitational Gauss theorem in its relativistic version. Indeed, let us remind the first Einstein equation (30):

$$\hat{\Delta}\xi = 4\pi\hat{\rho}_{\text{to}} \ , \quad \hat{\rho}_{\text{to}} \equiv \xi\big(T^0_0 - \hat{T}\big) \ , \tag{45}$$

which is a Poisson equation with the indicated density. Then it seems to be natural the use of this density as bulk mass density, and henceforth the total mass will be written as

$$M = \int_V \hat{\rho}_{\rm to}\, \hat{\eta} \ , \tag{46}$$

V being any compact volume containing the source, and $\hat{\eta}$ being the three-dimensional volume element, i.e.,

$$\hat{\eta} = \sqrt{\hat{g}}\, dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \ . \tag{47}$$

As a result, by applying the divergence theorem in its covariant form, we have that

$$M = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \hat{\Delta}\xi\, \hat{\eta} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \hat{\nabla}_k \hat{\nabla}^k \xi\, \hat{\eta} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\partial V} \hat{\nabla}^k \xi\, d\sigma_k \ , \tag{48}$$

and it is trivial to see that (48) is precisely the result of Komar (37) for the static case, ∂V being the boundary and $d\sigma_k$ its corresponding surface element:

$$d\sigma_k = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\eta}_{kij}\, dx^i \wedge dx^j = \sqrt{\hat{g}}\, \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{kij}\, dx^i \wedge dx^j}_{\equiv\, d\tilde{\sigma}_k} \ . \tag{49}$$

We can also apply the divergence theorem in its non-covariant form as follows:

$$M = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \hat{\Delta}\xi\, \hat{\eta} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \partial_k \big(\sqrt{\hat{g}}\, \hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j \xi \big) \sqrt{\hat{g}}\, d^3\vec{x} \tag{50}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ M = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\partial V} \hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j \xi\, \sqrt{\hat{g}}\, n_k\, d\tilde{\sigma} \ . \tag{51}$$

5 Static multipoles *"à la Komar"*. Relativistic generalized Gauss theorem (RGGT)

As we restrict ourselves to the static case, it is clear from (34), (46) and (48) that Komar mass can be treated in two different ways: either by using the quotient metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$ or by using the conformal quotient metric $\bar{g}_{ij} = \xi^2 \hat{g}_{ij}$. This is what we will do in this section.

5.1 Quotient metric

The expression (46) provides the Komar mass of the system, which obviously coincides with the first coefficient (monopole) of the asymptotic development of the metric. In addition, taking into account (48) it is clear that it can be written as an integral over the whole space of a function obtained from the gravitational field. In this way we will generalize (46) and *define* the other multipole moments as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_K^{i_1 \ldots i_n} &= \int x^{i_1 \ldots i_n}\, \hat{\rho}_{\rm to}\, \hat{\eta} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \xi\, \hat{\Delta} x^{i_1 \ldots i_n}\, \hat{\eta} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \Big[x^{i_1 \ldots i_n}\, \hat{\Delta}\xi - \xi\, \hat{\Delta} x^{i_1 \ldots i_n} \Big] \hat{\eta} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where $x^{i_1\cdots i_n}$ still being the traceless part of the product $x^{i_1}\cdots x^{i_n}$ with respect to the euclidean metric δ_{ij}, i.e., as an example

$$x^{ij} = x^i x^j - \frac{1}{3}\delta^{ij}\delta_{kl}x^k x^l \ , \tag{53}$$

in such a way that $M_K^{i_1\cdots i_n}$ turns out to be a completely symmetric object without trace with respect to δ_{ij}. We also require the coordinates used to be asymptotically cartesian harmonic coordinates. The ultimate justification of this definition is that, as we will see, these moments coincide, at least in the case of axial symmetry, with asymptotic standard multipole moments of Thorne [3] or Geroch [1].

The same process used to prove the generalized Gauss theorem in classical gravitation can be used now to express the above definition as a flow integral. Indeed, given that

$$\left[x^{I_{(n)}}\hat{\Delta}\xi - \xi\hat{\Delta}x^{I_{(n)}}\right]\sqrt{\hat{g}} \equiv x^{I_{(n)}}\partial_k\left[\sqrt{\hat{g}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j\xi\right] - \xi\partial_k\left[\sqrt{\hat{g}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j x^{I_{(n)}}\right] \ , \tag{54}$$

$\left(I_{(n)} \equiv i_1 i_2\cdots i_n\right)$ as well as,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{I_{(n)}}\partial_k\left[\sqrt{\hat{g}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j\xi\right] &= \partial_k\left[x^{I_{(n)}}\sqrt{\hat{g}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j\xi\right] - \sqrt{\hat{g}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j\xi\,\partial_k x^{I_{(n)}} \\ \xi\,\partial_k\left[\sqrt{\hat{g}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j x^{I_{(n)}}\right] &= \partial_k\left[\xi\sqrt{\hat{g}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j x^{I_{(n)}}\right] - \sqrt{\hat{g}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j\xi\,\partial_k x^{I_{(n)}} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

it turns out that we can rewrite (52) as follows:

$$4\pi M_K^{I_{(n)}} = \int \partial_k\left[x^{I_{(n)}}\sqrt{\hat{g}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j\xi - \xi\sqrt{\hat{g}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j x^{I_{(n)}}\right]d^3\vec{x} \ , \tag{56}$$

and once again making use of the divergence theorem, it is finally obtained

$$M_K^{I_{(n)}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\oint_\infty \left[x^{I_{(n)}}\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j\xi - \xi\,\hat{g}^{kj}\partial_j x^{I_{(n)}}\right]\sqrt{\hat{g}}\,d\tilde{\sigma}_k \ , \tag{57}$$

result that can be considered as the *relativistic generalized Gauss theorem* (RGGT) for the static case. Let us note that the application of the divergence theorem requires gravitation potentials to be of class C^1, which in principle can be ensured by the use of certain harmonic coordinates [21].

We shall restrict ourselves again to the axial–symmetry case. As usual we will use a coordinate system adapted to both two Killing vector fields and we assume that the metric has Papapetrou structure in associated spherical coordinates [21], i.e.,

$$ds^2 = g_{tt}dt^2 + g_{rr}dr^2 + 2g_{r\theta}drd\theta + g_{\theta\theta}d\theta^2 + g_{\varphi\varphi}d\varphi^2 \ , \tag{58}$$

where the azimuthal coordinate φ defines the axial symmetry and hence all metric components are only functions of the radial coordinate r and the polar coordinate θ. In particular, we write the metric in the following way:

$$ds^2 = \gamma_{tt}\,dt^2 + \gamma_{rr}\,dr^2 + 2\gamma_{r\theta}\,dr(rd\theta) + \gamma_{\theta\theta}\,(rd\theta)^2 + \gamma_{\varphi\varphi}\,(r\sin\theta d\varphi)^2 \ , \tag{59}$$

that is, we are using the "orthonormal euclidean cobasis"

$$\{dr, r d\theta, r \sin\theta \, d\varphi\} \ , \tag{60}$$

so that,

$$g_{tt} = \gamma_{tt} \ , \quad g_{rr} = \gamma_{rr} \ , \quad g_{r\theta} = r \, \gamma_{r\theta} \ , \quad g_{\theta\theta} = r^2 \gamma_{\theta\theta} \ , \quad g_{\varphi\varphi} = r^2 \sin^2\theta \, \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \ . \tag{61}$$

Now considering the above and the results of Section 2 concerning the axial symmetry, a small calculation shows that the flow integral (57) for axisymmetric multipole moments *"à la Komar"* is written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_n^K = & \frac{1}{4} \oint_{-1}^{+1} r^{n+1} P_n(w) \, (-g)^{-1/2} \, \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \gamma_{\theta\theta} \Big[2n \, \gamma_{tt} - r \, \partial_r \gamma_{tt} \Big] dw \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \oint_{-1}^{+1} r^{n+1} P_n(w) \, (-g)^{-1/2} \, \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \, \gamma_{r\theta} \, \partial_\theta \gamma_{tt} \, dw \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \oint_{-1}^{+1} r^{n+1} P_n^1(w) \, (-g)^{-1/2} \, \gamma_{tt} \, \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \, \gamma_{r\theta} \, dw \ , \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where g is the determinant of the metric of the space-time in the euclidean basis

$$g = \gamma_{tt}(\gamma_{rr}\gamma_{\theta\theta} - \gamma_{r\theta}^2)\gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \ , \tag{63}$$

$w \equiv \cos\theta$ being the notation used for the variable of integration. The circle used in the sign of integration means that the sphere of infinity must be considered, i.e., we needs to take $r \to \infty$. Therefore all terms arising like $1/r^k$ with $k \geq 1$ should be excluded.

The calculation of the flow integrals (62) requires the use of the structure (104) of the metric in harmonic coordinates set out in the Appendix. From that, it is easy to obtain the following structures for different terms of (62):

$$(-g)^{-1/2} \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \gamma_{\theta\theta} \gamma_{tt} = -1 + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+2}} X_1^{(q)}(w) \tag{64}$$

$$(-g)^{-1/2} \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \gamma_{\theta\theta} \, r \, \partial_r \gamma_{tt} = -2 \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{q+1}{r^{q+1}} M_q P_q(w) + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+3}} X_2^{(q)}(w) \tag{65}$$

$$(-g)^{-1/2} \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \gamma_{r\theta} \, \partial_\theta \gamma_{tt} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+5}} X_3^{(q+2)}(w) \tag{66}$$

$$(-g)^{-1/2} \gamma_{tt} \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \gamma_{r\theta} = \sqrt{1-w^2} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+3}} X_4^{(q)}(w) \ , \tag{67}$$

$X_a^{(q)}(w)$ $(a = 1, \ldots, 4)$ being polynomials of degree q in the variable w.

As an example to fix ideas on how to do the flow integrals we choose the terms (65) and (67). As regards (65) the following integrals are evaluated:

$$+\frac{1}{2}\oint_{-1}^{+1} r^{n+1}P_n(w)\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\frac{q+1}{r^{q+1}}M_qP_q(w)\,dw=\frac{n+1}{2n+1} \tag{68}$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}\oint_{-1}^{+1} r^{n+1}P_n(w)\sum_{q=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r^{q+3}}X_2^{(q)}(w)\,dw=0\ . \tag{69}$$

The result of the first integral above (68) is a consequence of the orthogonality relation (26) whereas for the integral (69) must be taken into account the inequality $q+3\leq n+1$, in order to avoid terms like $1/r$ or higher, which vanish at infinity. But this implies that $q\leq n-2$, which means that the maximum degree of a Legendre polynomial from $X_2^{(q)}(w)$ is $n-2$. Applying again the relation (26) it is obtained that this integral is zero.

Let us now analyse the term from (67), which leads to the following integral:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\oint_{-1}^{+1} r^{n+1}P_n^1(w)\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r^{q+3}}\sqrt{1-w^2}\,X_4^{(q)}(w)\,dw\ . \tag{70}$$

Inequality $q+3\leq n+1$ must be considered again, which implies that $q+1\leq n-1$. However, the product of the factor $\sqrt{1-w^2}$ by the polynomial $X_4^{(q)}$ generates associated Legendre functions P_m^1 whose maximum degree is $m=q+1$. Consequently, the integral (70) is zero under the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} P_n^1(w)P_q^1(w)dw=\frac{2n(n+1)}{2n+1}\delta_{nq}\ . \tag{71}$$

Arguing similarly with the other terms (64) and (66), we can conclude that both of them lead to null integrals. Consequently the unique non-vanishing integral is (68), whereby the expression (62) is finally reduced to the following:

$$M_n^K=\frac{n+1}{2n+1}M_n\ . \tag{72}$$

It is concluded that the axisymmetric moments *"à la Komar"* are proportional to the known moments of Thorne [3] (the proportionality constant depends on the multipole order).

5.2 Conformal quotient metric

The result (72) would be more satisfactory if Komar moments exactly coincide with Thorne moments. In this Subsection we will modify the definition (52) to get that matching. To do this we assume that Komar mass can also be written respect to the conformal metric, accordingly with (34), as follows

$$M_K=\int\bar{\rho}_{\rm to}\,\bar{\eta} \tag{73}$$

Hence, given Einstein equations (33), this equality suggests replacing the definition (52) of the multipole moments for the following one:

$$\bar{M}_K^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left[x^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n} \bar{\Delta} \log \xi - \log \xi \, \bar{\Delta} x^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n} \right] \bar{\eta} \ , \tag{74}$$

whereby it follows that, similar to (57),

$$\bar{M}_K^{I_{(n)}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_\infty \left[x^{I_{(n)}} \, \bar{\nabla}^l \log \xi - \log \xi \, \bar{\nabla}^l x^{I_{(n)}} \right] \sqrt{\bar{g}} \, d\tilde{\sigma}_l \ , \tag{75}$$

and restricting ourselves to the axial symmetry case, a standard calculation leads to the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}_n^K = & \frac{1}{4} \oint_{-1}^{+1} r^{n+1} P_n(w) (-g)^{-1/2} \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \gamma_{\theta\theta} \Big[n \, \gamma_{tt} \log(-\gamma_{tt}) - r \partial_r \gamma_{tt} \Big] dw \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \oint_{-1}^{+1} r^{n+1} P_n(w) (-g)^{-1/2} \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \gamma_{r\theta} \, \partial_\theta \gamma_{tt} \, dw \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \oint_{-1}^{+1} r^{n+1} P_n^1(w) (-g)^{-1/2} \, \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \gamma_{r\theta} \, \gamma_{tt} \log(-\gamma_{tt}) \, dw \ . \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

To calculate these integrals we proceed in a similarly way than the previous case where we used the metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$. We need structures (65), (66) and the following expressions:

$$(-g)^{-1/2} \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \gamma_{\theta\theta} \, \gamma_{tt} \log(-\gamma_{tt}) = 2 \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_q}{r^{q+1}} P_q(w) + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+3}} X_5^{(q)}(w) \tag{77}$$

$$(-g)^{-1/2} \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} \gamma_{r\theta} \, \gamma_{tt} \log(-\gamma_{tt}) = \sqrt{1-w^2} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+4}} X_6^{(q)}(w) \ , \tag{78}$$

where $X_a^{(q)}(w)$ $(a = 5, 6)$ are again polynomials of degree q in the variable w. This time the unique non-zero integrals are the following ones:

$$\frac{1}{2} \oint_{-1}^{+1} r^{n+1} P_n(w) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{q+1}{r^{q+1}} M_q P_q(w) \, dw = \frac{n+1}{2n+1} \ , \tag{79}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \oint_{-1}^{+1} n \, r^{n+1} P_n(w) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+1}} M_q P_q(w) \, dw = \frac{n}{2n+1} \ , \tag{80}$$

whereby the following result is now obtained:

$$\bar{M}_n^K = \frac{n}{2n+1} M_n + \frac{n+1}{2n+1} M_n = M_n \ . \tag{81}$$

As we see, the use of the conformal metric $\bar{g}_{ij}$ leads to a more satisfactory result than the one obtained with the quotient metric. This fact represents an additional argument for the use of the conformal metric against the quotient metric as a metric of space.

5.3 Comments

A) Firstly, it should be noted here that although it may seem somewhat artificial the use of the "euclidean" factor $x^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}$ in (52) and (74), however we should consider some important items: **1)** The moments are required to be *numerical* objects completely symmetric and *without trace*, and hence this condition should be verified respect to a metric independently of any coordinate system. **2)** The definitions (52) and (74) lead to flow integrals throughout the surface of the *infinity*, where we assumed that the metric is *flat*. **3)** Henceforth the moments $\bar{M}_{\scriptscriptstyle K}^{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ (as well as $M_{\scriptscriptstyle K}^{i_1 \cdots i_n}$) should be considered as tensors in a neighbourhood of infinity so that everything were consistent.

B) Secondly, another relevant feature of the definitions (52) and (74) has to do with the requirement imposed on the calculation of the integrals in asymptotically cartesian harmonic coordinates. This fact means that the above definitions are not covariant and so they depend on the system of coordinates. Let us see what happens, for example, if we develop the definition (52) in canonical spherical coordinates of Weyl for the static–axisymmetric case [metric (100) at the Appendix].

In this case the integral (52) is reduced to the following:

$$\Big[r^n P_n\, \hat{\Delta} e^{\Psi} - e^{\Psi} \hat{\Delta}\big(r^n P_n\big)\Big] \sqrt{\hat{g}} = \partial_k \Big\{ \beta \big[r^n P_n \partial^k \Psi - \partial^k (r^n P_n) \big] \Big\} \ , \tag{82}$$

and then the following result is easily obtained:

$$M_n^K = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\text{ext}} \underbrace{\big[r^n P_n \partial^k \Psi - \partial^k (r^n P_n) \big] n_k}_{r^{n-1} P_n \big[r \partial_r \Psi - n \big]} d\tilde{\sigma} = - \frac{n+1}{2n+1}\, a_n \ , \tag{83}$$

which compared to (72) highlights the importance of using harmonic coordinates. What happens if we do this calculation with the conformal quotient metric? A similar calculation leads to the following:

$$\bar{M}_n^K = - \, a_n \ , \tag{84}$$

as expected if we remember (72) and (81).

C) Finally, we must underline recent works of Gürlebeck [11] who obtain an integral expression, restricted to the interior of the source, for the Weyl coefficients a_n (newtonian multipole moments) which apparently is independent of the coordinate system. He states that this result is equivalent to get M_n multipole moments, as their relationship with a_n is known (see for example [14, 22]). In a next work we will establish a comparison between the result of Gürlebeck with our one by explicitly taking an *inner* solution which describes an anisotropic fluid.

6 Relativistic generalized Gauss theorem *"à la Thorne"*

In this section we first recall Thorne approach [3] to define the multipole moments using *volume integrals* over all space. From there we show that the generalized

Gauss theorem can be applied almost identically to that of classical gravitation. It may simply be concluded that such defined moments coincide with the multipole moments of the asymptotic development used by Thorne itself.

As is well known Einstein equations can be written in terms of the metric density $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \sqrt{-g}\, g^{\alpha\beta}$ as follows (see for instance [23, 24]):

$$\partial_{\lambda\mu} H^{\alpha\lambda,\beta\mu} = 2\chi \underbrace{(-g)(t_{_L}^{\alpha\beta} + T^{\alpha\beta})}_{\mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta}} \qquad \Big[\Rightarrow \partial_\alpha \mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \Big] \ , \tag{85}$$

where

$$H^{\alpha\lambda,\beta\mu} \equiv (-g)\left(g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\lambda\mu} - g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\lambda\beta}\right) = \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta}\mathfrak{g}^{\lambda\mu} - \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\mu}\mathfrak{g}^{\lambda\beta} \tag{86}$$

and $t_{_L}^{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the pseudo-tensor of Einstein–Landau, defined by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi(-g)t_{_L}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv\ & \partial_\rho \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\sigma \mathfrak{g}^{\rho\sigma} - \partial_\rho \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\rho}\partial_\sigma \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\lambda\mu} \partial_\rho \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda\sigma} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\rho} \\ & - g_{\lambda\mu}\partial_\rho \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda\sigma}\left(g^{\alpha\rho}\partial_\sigma \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\mu} + g^{\beta\rho}\partial_\sigma \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\mu}\right) + g_{\lambda\mu} g^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\lambda} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\mu} \\ & + \frac{1}{8}\left(g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\rho\sigma} - 2 g^{\alpha\rho} g^{\beta\sigma}\right)\left(g_{\lambda\mu} g_{\tau\nu} - 2 g_{\lambda\tau} g_{\mu\nu}\right) \partial_\rho \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda\mu} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{g}^{\tau\nu} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

Thorne approach consists firstly on introducing the deviation of the metric density respect to the Minkowski metric

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta} - \eta^{\alpha\beta} \ , \tag{88}$$

and then writing the Einstein equation (81) as follows:

$$\Box \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\beta} + E_{_G}^{\alpha\beta} = 2\chi \mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta} - E_{_H}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv 2\chi \mathcal{T}_{\rm th}^{\alpha\beta} \ , \tag{89}$$

$\Box$ being the flat D'Alembert operator, and the following notation is used:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{_G}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv\ & \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\lambda\mu}\mathfrak{h}^{\lambda\mu} - \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\lambda}\partial_{\lambda\mu}\mathfrak{h}^{\beta\mu} - \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\lambda}\partial_{\lambda\mu}\mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\mu} + 2\partial_\lambda \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu \mathfrak{h}^{\lambda\mu} - \partial_\lambda \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\lambda}\partial_\mu \mathfrak{h}^{\beta\mu} \\ E_{_H}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv\ & \mathfrak{h}^{\lambda\mu}\partial_{\lambda\mu}\mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\beta} - \partial_\lambda \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\mu}\partial_\mu \mathfrak{h}^{\beta\lambda} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

By demanding the coordinates to be harmonic, i.e., they must satisfy $\partial_\lambda \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\lambda} = 0$, it turns out to be the following conclusion obtained:

$$E_{_G}^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \ , \quad \partial_\alpha E_{_H}^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \ , \tag{91}$$

and so, Einstein equation (85) are reduced to

$$\Box \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\beta} = 2\chi \mathcal{T}_{\rm th}^{\alpha\beta} \qquad \Big[\Rightarrow \partial_\alpha \mathcal{T}_{\rm th}^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \Big] \ , \tag{92}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_{\rm th}^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2\chi}\Big(\mathfrak{h}^{\lambda\mu}\partial_{\lambda\mu}\mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\beta} - \partial_\lambda \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha\mu}\partial_\mu \mathfrak{h}^{\beta\lambda}\Big) \ , \tag{93}$$

which represents an effective energy–momentum pseudo-tensor (containing *"matter"* and *"field"*) that is conserved in the ordinary sense (let us note that according to (85) and the condition of harmonic coordinates, both tems in (93) are divergence free). Subsequently Thorne defines the *static* multipoles as follows:

$$M_{\rm th}^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n} = \int x^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n}\, \mathcal{T}_{\rm th}^{00}\, d^3\vec{x} = \frac{1}{2\chi} \int x^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n}\, \Delta \mathfrak{h}^{00}\, d^3\vec{x} \tag{94}$$

where integrals are extended to all the space and Δ is the flat Laplacian operator.

With this expression of Thorne we can proceed identically to the classic case of generalized Gauss theorem and conclude in the following flow integral:

$$M_{\rm th}^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n} = \frac{1}{2\chi} \oint_\infty \Big(x^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n}\, \partial_k \mathfrak{h}^{00} - \mathfrak{h}^{00} \partial_k x^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n} \Big) d\tilde{\sigma}^k \ , \tag{95}$$

having taken into account that this time $\Delta x^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n} = 0$. If we restrict ourselves now to the axial symmetry case, then

$$\begin{aligned} M_n^{\rm th} &= \frac{(2n-1)!!}{n!}\, e_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n} M_{\rm th}^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\chi} \oint_\infty \Big\{ r^n P_n(\cos\theta)\, \partial_r \mathfrak{h}^{00} - \mathfrak{h}^{00}\, \partial_r \big[r^n P_n(\cos\theta) \big] \Big\} d\tilde{\sigma} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

which is virtually identical to (22) if we substitute the Newtonian potential Φ by the component $\mathfrak{h}^{00}$ of the deviation of the metric density. Now considering (23) an expression similar to (24) is obtained:

$$M_n^{\rm th} = \frac{1}{8}\, r^{n+1} \oint_{-1}^{+1} P_n(\cos\theta) \left(r \partial_r \mathfrak{h}^{00} - n\, \mathfrak{h}^{00} \right) d(\cos\theta) \ , \tag{97}$$

where once again the circle at the sign of integration means that the limit $r \to \infty$ must be taken.

To calculate the integral (97) we must use the following structure of $\mathfrak{h}^{00}$ (obtained from the Appendix):

$$\mathfrak{h}^{00} = -4 \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_q}{r^{q+1}} P_q(\cos\theta) + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+2}} X^{(q)}(\cos\theta) \ , \tag{98}$$

where $X^{(q)}(\cos\theta)$ represents a polynomial of degree q. From here we obtain the following result:

$$r \partial_r \mathfrak{h}^{00} - n\, \mathfrak{h}^{00} = 4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q+1+n) M_q}{r^{q+1}}\, P_q(\cos\theta) - \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{q+2+n}{r^{q+2}} X^{(q)}(\cos\theta) \ , \tag{99}$$

expression that introduced in (97) leads to the desired equality $M_n^{\rm th} = M_n$, since the second summation results in a zero integral (by reasoning, similar to the classic case, as in Section 5).

7 Conclusions

In this work we have described what we call "generalized Gauss theorem"(GGT) in classical gravitation, a virtually unknown result in standard bibliography. As we have seen, it can express the multipole moments of the source of the gravitational field as flows throughout any surface containing it. Henceforth the Gauss theorem, which allows us to write the mass of the object as the field flow through any envelope surface, is generalized.

We have shown that the definition of Komar mass (35) can be understood, at least in the static case, as a relativistic generalization of the Gauss theorem (GT). Indeed, the natural introduction of the mass (46), via the Einstein equation (45), can identify it with the mass of Komar by means of the flow integral (48).

In our judgement the most important aspect of this work is the generalization of the previous result. That is, we have defined the static Relativistic Multipole Moments (RMM) as integrals over all space which finally identify with the moments of Thorne (or Geroch) by means of flow integrals throughout the surface of infinity. Flow integrals are calculated in associated asymptotically cartesian harmonic polar coordinates, and the structure of the Weyl metrics in these coordinates, obtained by the authors in previous articles, is used.

Finally we have reviewed, in the static case, the approach of the multipole moments of Thorne. As is known, they are defined as integrals over all the space involving the time component of a pseudo-tensor $T_{\rm th}^{\alpha\beta}$ which is divergence free, provided that harmonic coordinates are used. As the starting equation possess a Minkowskian character, it is almost immediate that the application of the generalized Gauss theorem leads to flow integrals that reproduce the asymptotic multipoles.

8 Appendix. Approximate Weyl metrics in "spherical harmonic coordinates"

Weyl metrics are the most general solution of *vacuum axially symmetric* Einstein equations for the static case. In "Canonical spherical coordinates" of Weyl $\{t, \tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\varphi}\}$ these metrics are written as follows:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Psi}dt^2 + e^{-2\Psi}\left[e^{2\Gamma}\left(d\tilde{r}^2 + \tilde{r}^2\, d\tilde{\theta}^2\right) + \beta^2 r^2 \sin^2\theta\, d\tilde{\varphi}^2\right] , \tag{100}$$

β, Ψ y Γ, are functions of $(\tilde{r}, \tilde{\theta})$, given by the following expressions:

$$\begin{cases} \beta(\tilde{r},\tilde{\theta}) = 1 \ , \quad \Psi(\tilde{r},\tilde{\theta}) = \displaystyle\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\tilde{r}^{\,n+1}} P_n\big(\cos\tilde{\theta}\,\big) \\ \Gamma(\tilde{r},\tilde{\theta}) = \displaystyle\sum_{n,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)(k+1)}{n+k+2} \frac{a_n a_k}{\tilde{r}^{\,n+k+2}} \big(P_{n+1}P_{k+1} - P_n P_k\big) \end{cases} , \tag{101}$$

where the argument of the Legendre polynomials in the second formula is $\cos\tilde{\theta}$. We have included the function $\beta = 1$ to indicate that, in general, inside of the source this function is not trivial $\big($see for instance [10, 11]$\big)$

In [14] we got the relativistic moments M_n of these metrics in terms of the Weyl constants a_n up to a fairly high order. The procedure used to do that primarily relies on the definition of Thorne, carrying out the following steps. First of all, spherical coordinates $\{t, r, \theta, \varphi\}$ associated to asymptotically cartesian harmonic ones are determined. Then, the moments M_n are the coefficients corresponding to the terms like

$$\frac{1}{r^{\,n+1}} P_n\big(\cos\theta\,\big) \tag{102}$$

arising in the series expansion of the component g_{tt} of the metric.

The calculation of the harmonic coordinates $\{t, r, \theta, \varphi\}$ is approximate, so they are provided by series expansion in the inverse of the radial coordinate r up to a high order. These expressions allow us to write the components of the metric up to order $1/r^9$ (those expressions are shown at the end of this Appendix), and the line element is taken in the following form:

$$ds^2 = \gamma_{tt} dt^2 + \gamma_{rr} dr^2 + 2\gamma_{r\theta}\, dr\,(r d\theta) + \gamma_{\theta\theta}(r d\theta)^2 + \gamma_{\varphi\varphi}(r\sin\theta d\varphi)^2 \ , \tag{103}$$

i.e., the "euclidean orthonormal co–basis" (60) has been used.

As can be seen, the components of the metric have two distinguished kind of terms; the first ones reproduce the Newtonian multipole expansion and the second terms (summations involving the notation $\mathcal{R}^{(q)}$) contain the so-called *rests of Thorne*, with the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{tt} &= -1 + 2\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_q}{r^{q+1}} P_q(w) + 2\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+2}} \mathcal{R}^{(q)}_{tt}(w) \\
\gamma_{ii} &= 1 + 2\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_q}{r^{q+1}} P_q(w) + 2\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+2}} \mathcal{R}^{(q)}_{ii}(w) \\
\gamma_{r\theta} &= \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{q+3}} \mathcal{R}^{(q)}_{r\theta}(w) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

where $i = \{r, \theta, \varphi\}$ and $w \equiv \cos\theta$. The rests of Thorne $\mathcal{R}^{(q)}_{tt}(w)$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(q)}_{ii}(w)$ are polynomials of degree q in the variable w and they appear in this development as certain combinations of the Legendre polynomials $\big($from Weyl series (101)$\big)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}^{(q)}_{tt}(w) &\equiv \sum_{s=0}^{q} A^{qs}_{tt} P_s(w) \\
\mathcal{R}^{(q)}_{ii}(w) &\equiv \sum_{s=0}^{q} A^{qs}_{ii} P_s(w) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

Regarding to the rests $\mathcal{R}^{(q)}_{r\theta}(w)$ they appear as certain combinations of the associated Legendre functions of first kind $P^1_m(w)$

$$\mathcal{R}^{(q)}_{r\theta}(w) \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{q} A^{qs}_{r\theta} P^1_{s+1}(w) \ , \tag{106}$$

and so they are polynomials of degree q in the variable w multiplied by a factor $\sin\theta$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{R}^{(q)}_{r\theta}(w) = \sqrt{1-w^2}\, Q^{(q)}_{r\theta}(w)\ . \tag{107}$$

Let us note that the absence of odd degree polynomials (as well as associated functions) is due to the equatorial symmetry considered in our case.

$$\begin{aligned}
\bullet\ \gamma_{tt} = -1 &+ \frac{2M_0}{r} + \frac{2M_2}{r^3}P_2 + \frac{2M_4}{r^5}P_4 + \frac{2M_6}{r^7}P_6 + \frac{2M_8}{r^9}P_8 \\
&- \frac{2}{r^2}M_0^2 + \frac{2}{r^3}M_0^3 - \frac{2}{r^4}M_0\left(M_0^3 + 2M_2P_2\right) \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^5}M_0^2\left(M_0^3 + \frac{22}{7}M_2P_2\right) \\
&- \frac{2}{r^6}\left[M_0^6 + \frac{1}{5}M_2^2 + \frac{2}{7}M_2\left(15M_0^3 + M_2\right)P_2 + 2\left(\frac{9}{35}M_2^2 + M_0M_4\right)P_4\right] \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^7}\left[M_0^7 + \frac{71}{105}M_0M_2^2 + \frac{1}{21}M_0M_2\big(115M_0^3 + 17M_2\big)P_2\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \frac{3}{11}M_0\left(\frac{166}{35}M_2^2 + 13M_0M_4\right)P_4\right] \\
&- \frac{2}{r^8}\left[M_0^8 + \frac{148}{105}M_0^2M_2^2 + 2M_2\left(\frac{10}{3}M_0^5 + \frac{125}{147}M_0^2M_2 + \frac{2}{7}M_4\right)P_2\right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\left(\frac{3684}{2695}M_0^2M_2^2 + \frac{28}{11}M_0^3M_4 + \frac{20}{77}M_2M_4\right)P_4 + 2\left(\frac{5}{11}M_2M_4 + M_0M_6\right)P_6\right] \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^9}\left[M_0^9 + \frac{5209}{2100}M_0^3M_2^2 - \frac{2}{105}M_2^3\right. \\
&\quad + M_2\left(\frac{260}{33}M_0^6 + \frac{468911}{1601700}M_0^3M_2 + \frac{30}{77}M_2^2 + \frac{470}{231}M_0M_4\right)P_2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{816479}{175175}M_0^3M_2^2 + \frac{132}{455}M_2^3 + \frac{994}{143}M_0^4M_4 + \frac{1426}{1001}M_0M_2M_4\right)P_4 \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{2}{7}M_2^3 + \frac{70}{33}M_0M_2M_4 + 4M_0^2M_6\right)P_6\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bullet\ \gamma_{rr} = 1 &+ \frac{2M_0}{r} + \frac{2M_2}{r^3}P_2 + \frac{2M_4}{r^5}P_4 + \frac{2M_6}{r^7}P_6 + \frac{2M_8}{r^9}P_8 \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^2}M_0^2 + \frac{2}{r^3}M_0^3 + \frac{2}{r^4}M_0\left(M_0^3 + 2M_2P_2\right) \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^5}M_0^2\left(M_0^3 + \frac{22}{7}M_2P_2\right) \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^6}\left[M_0^6 + \frac{1}{15}M_2^2 + \frac{2}{7}M_2\left(15M_0^3 - \frac{1}{3}M_2\right)P_2 + \left(\frac{36}{35}M_2^2 + 2M_0M_4\right)P_4\right] \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^7}\left[M_0^7 + \frac{43}{105}M_0M_2^2 + \frac{1}{21}M_0M_2\left(115M_0^3 + M_2\right)P_2\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \frac{3}{11}M_0\left(\frac{298}{35}M_2^2 + 13M_0M_4\right)P_4\right] \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^8}\left[M_0^8 + \frac{2623}{2100}M_0^2M_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}M_2\left(20M_0^5 + \frac{6707}{4900}M_0^2M_2 + \frac{4}{7}M_4\right)P_2\right. \\
&\qquad + \left(\frac{55771}{13475}M_0^2M_2^2 + \frac{56}{11}M_0^3M_4 - \frac{24}{77}M_2M_4P_2\right)P_4 \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + 2\left(\frac{35}{33}M_2M_4 + M_0M_6\right)P_6\right] \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^9}\left[M_0^9 + \frac{1019}{420}M_0^3M_2^2 - \frac{6}{35}M_2^3\right. \\
&\qquad + M_2\left(\frac{260}{33}M_0^6 + \frac{5419}{4620}M_0^3M_2 + \frac{46}{231}M_2^2 + \frac{14}{11}M_0M_4\right)P_2 \\
&\qquad + \left(\frac{32243}{5005}M_0^3M_2^2 + \frac{828}{5005}M_2^3 + \frac{994}{143}M_0^4M_4 + \frac{34}{143}M_0M_2M_4\right)P_4 \\
&\qquad \left. + \left(\frac{58}{77}M_2^3 + \frac{50}{11}M_0M_2M_4 + 4M_0^2M_6\right)P_6\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{109}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bullet\ \gamma_{r\theta} = &-\frac{2}{3r^4}M_0M_2P_{21} - \frac{4}{3r^5}M_0^2M_2P_{21} \\
&+\frac{1}{r^6}\left[\frac{4}{21}M_2\left(-8M_0^3+M_2\right)P_{21} - \frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{9}{7}M_2^2+2M_0M_4\right)P_{41}\right] \\
&+\frac{2}{r^7}\left[\frac{2}{7}M_0M_2\left(-3M_0^3+\frac{1}{3}M_2\right)P_{21} - M_0\left(\frac{3}{7}M_2^2+\frac{2}{5}M_0M_4\right)P_{41}\right] \\
&+\frac{1}{r^8}\left[\frac{1}{21}M_2\left(-38M_0^5+\frac{943}{700}M_0^2M_2+\frac{4}{3}M_4\right)P_{21}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad +\left(-\frac{15782}{13475}M_0^2M_2^2 - \frac{62}{55}M_0^3M_4 + \frac{20}{77}M_2M_4\right)P_{41} \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.-\frac{2}{7}\left(\frac{145}{99}M_2M_4+M_0M_6\right)P_{61}\right] \\
&+\frac{1}{r^9}\left[-\frac{1}{21}M_2\left(40M_0^6+\frac{151}{50}M_0^3M_2+4M_2^2\right)P_{21}\right. \\
&\qquad +\frac{2}{11}\left(-\frac{1571}{175}M_0^3M_2^2 - \frac{3}{35}M_2^3 - 8M_0^4M_4 + \frac{8}{5}M_0M_2M_4\right)P_{41} \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.-\frac{4}{7}\left(\frac{3}{11}M_2^3+\frac{74}{33}M_0M_2M_4+M_0^2M_6\right)P_{61}\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{110}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bullet\ \gamma_{\theta\theta} = 1 &+ \frac{2M_0}{r} + \frac{2M_2}{r^3}P_2 + \frac{2M_4}{r^5}P_4 + \frac{2M_6}{r^7}P_6 + \frac{2M_8}{r^9}P_8 \\
&+ \frac{1}{r^2}M_0^2 + \frac{1}{3r^4}M_0M_2(1+5P_2) + \frac{2}{3r^5}M_0^2M_2\left(1-\frac{4}{7}P_2\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{r^6}\left[\frac{13}{21}M_0^3M_2 + \frac{4}{15}M_2^2 + \frac{2}{15}M_0M_4\right. \\
&\qquad \left. -\frac{1}{3}\left(M_0^3M_2 - \frac{19}{7}M_2^2 - 2M_0M_4\right)P_2 - \frac{6}{5}\left(\frac{1}{7}M_2^2 - M_0M_4\right)P_4\right] \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^7}\left[\frac{2}{7}M_0^4M_2 + \frac{8}{105}M_0M_2^2 + \frac{2}{15}M_0^2M_4\right. \\
&\qquad \left. -\frac{1}{3}M_0\left(\frac{5}{7}M_0^3M_2 - \frac{17}{7}M_2^2 - 2M_0M_4\right)P_2 - \frac{1}{11}M_0\left(\frac{426}{35}M_2^2 + \frac{14}{5}M_0M_4\right)P_4\right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{r^8}\left[\frac{11}{21}M_0^5M_2 + \frac{1651}{4620}M_0^2M_2^2 + \frac{52}{165}M_0^3M_4 + \frac{1}{42}M_2M_4 + \frac{1}{14}M_0M_6\right. \\
&\qquad + \left(-\frac{3}{7}M_0^5M_2 + \frac{76843}{40425}M_0^2M_2^2 + \frac{52}{33}M_0^3M_4 + \frac{37}{42}M_2M_4 + \frac{5}{14}M_0M_6\right)P_2 \\
&\qquad + \left(-\frac{2952}{1225}M_0^2M_2^2 - \frac{4}{5}M_0^3M_4 + \frac{317}{154}M_2M_4 + \frac{9}{14}M_0M_6\right)P_4 \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \left(-\frac{445}{462}M_2M_4 + \frac{13}{14}M_0M_6\right)P_6\right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{r^9}\left[\frac{10}{21}M_0^6M_2 + \frac{879}{1925}M_0^3M_2^2 + \frac{2}{21}M_2^3 + \frac{4}{11}M_0^4M_4 + \frac{11}{35}M_0M_2M_4 + \frac{1}{7}M_0^2M_6\right. \\
&\qquad + \left(-\frac{100}{231}M_0^6M_2 + \frac{161383}{80850}M_0^3M_2^2 + \frac{4}{231}M_2^3 + \frac{20}{11}M_0^4M_4\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \frac{97}{77}M_0M_2M_4 + \frac{5}{7}M_0^2M_6\right)P_2 \\
&\qquad + \left(-\frac{481934}{175175}M_0^3M_2^2 + \frac{300}{1001}M_2^3 - \frac{222}{143}M_0^4M_4 + \frac{17887}{5005}M_0M_2M_4 + \frac{9}{7}M_0^2M_6\right)P_4 \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. -\frac{1}{7}\left(\frac{40}{11}M_2^3 + \frac{461}{11}M_0M_2M_4 + M_0^2M_6\right)P_6\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{111}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bullet\ \gamma_{\varphi\varphi} = 1 &+ \frac{2M_0}{r} + \frac{2M_2}{r^3}P_2 + \frac{2M_4}{r^5}P_4 + \frac{2M_6}{r^7}P_6 + \frac{2M_8}{r^9}P_8 \\
&+ \frac{1}{r^2}M_0^2 - \frac{1}{3r^4}M_0M_2\left(1-7P_2\right) - \frac{2}{3r^5}M_0^2M_2\left(1-\frac{10}{7}P_2\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{r^6}\left[-\frac{13}{21}M_0^3M_2 + \frac{2}{5}M_2^2 - \frac{2}{15}M_0M_4\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \left(\frac{19}{21}M_0^3M_2 + \frac{3}{7}M_2^2 - \frac{2}{3}M_0M_4\right)P_2 + \frac{2}{5}\left(\frac{3}{7}M_2^2 + 7M_0M_4\right)P_4\right] \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^7}\left[-\frac{2}{7}M_0^4M_2 + \frac{12}{35}M_0M_2^2 - \frac{2}{15}M_0^2M_4\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + M_0\left(\frac{1}{3}M_0^3M_2 - \frac{1}{7}M_2^2 - \frac{2}{3}M_0M_4\right)P_2 - \frac{2}{11}M_0\left(\frac{81}{35}M_2^2 + \frac{37}{5}M_0M_4\right)P_4\right] \\
&+ \frac{2}{r^8}\left[-\frac{11}{21}M_0^5M_2 + \frac{3227}{3300}M_0^2M_2^2 - \frac{52}{165}M_0^3M_4 - \frac{1}{42}M_2M_4 - \frac{1}{14}M_0M_6\right. \\
&\qquad + \left(\frac{13}{21}M_0^5M_2 - \frac{5039}{16170}M_0^2M_2^2 - \frac{52}{33}M_0^3M_4 + \frac{43}{42}M_2M_4 - \frac{5}{14}M_0M_6\right)P_2 \\
&\qquad + \left(-\frac{2204}{2695}M_0^2M_2^2 + \frac{164}{55}M_0^3M_4 + \frac{9}{14}M_2M_4 - \frac{9}{14}M_0M_6\right)P_4 \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \frac{1}{14}\left(5M_2M_4 + 43M_0M_6\right)P_6\right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{r^9}\left[-\frac{10}{21}M_0^6M_2 + \frac{6724}{5775}M_0^3M_2^2 - \frac{2}{21}M_2^3 - \frac{4}{11}M_0^4M_4 - \frac{11}{35}M_0M_2 - \frac{1}{7}M_0^2M_6\right. \\
&\qquad + \left(\frac{40}{77}M_0^6M_2 - \frac{42379}{80850}M_0^3M_2^2 + \frac{16}{77}M_2^3 - \frac{20}{11}M_0^4M_4\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \frac{17}{11}M_0M_2M_4 - \frac{5}{7}M_0^2M_6\right)P_2 \\
&\qquad + \left(-\frac{164422}{175175}M_0^3M_2^2 - \frac{12}{1001}M_2^3 + \frac{402}{143}M_0^4M_4 - \frac{51}{65}M_0M_2M_4 - \frac{9}{7}M_0^2M_6\right)P_4 \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \frac{1}{7}\left(-\frac{16}{11}M_2^3 - 9M_0M_2M_4 + 29M_0^2M_6\right)P_6\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{112}
$$

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad under Research Project No. FIS2015-65140-P (MINECO), and the Consejería de Educación of the Junta de Castilla y León under the Research Project Grupo de Excelencia GR234.

References

[1] R. Geroch, *J. Math. Phys.* **Vol. 11**, 1955 (1970).
J. Math. Phys. **Vol. 11**, 2580 (1970)

[2] R.O. Hansen, *J. Math. Phys.* **Vol. 15**, 46 (1974).

[3] K. S. Thorne *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **Vol. 52, No. 2. Part I**, 299 (1980).

[4] R. Beig , *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **Vol. 12**, 439 (1980).
R. Beig and W. Simon , *Commun. Math. Phys.* **Vol. 78**, 75 (1980).
R. Beig and W. Simon , *Acta Phys. Austr.* **Vol. 53**, 249 (1981).
R. Beig and W. Simon , *Proc. Royal Soc. London* **Vol. A 376**, 333 (1981).

[5] H. Quevedo *Fortschr. Phys.* **38**, 733 (1990). H. Quevedo *Phys. Rev.* **33**, 334 (1986).

[6] Fodor, G., Hoenselaers, C. and Perjés Z., *J. Math. Phys.*, **30**, 2252, (1989).

[7] Bäckdahl, T., Herberthson, M., (2005) *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22**, 3585.
Herberthson, M., (2004) *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21**, 5121.
Bäckdahl, T., Herberthson, M., (2006) *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 5997.
Bäckdahl, T., Herberthson, M., (2005) *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22**, 1607.

[8] L.Herrera and J.L.Hernández-Pastora, *Classical and Quantum Gravity.* **17** 3617-3625 (2000)
L. Herrera, and Hernández-Pastora *Journal of Math. Phys.* **41**, 7544. (2000)

[9] Hernández-Pastora, J.L, and Ospino, J. *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 104001 (14 pages) (2010).
L. Herrera, (2005) *Found. Phys. Lett.* **18**, 21-36.
L. Herrera, J. Carot, N. Bolivar and E. Lazo, *Int.J.Theor.Phys.* **48**, 3537-3546, (2009)
J.L.Hernández-Pastora and L. Herrera, *Classical and Quantum Gravity.* **28**, 225026 (2011)

[10] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, M. MacAllum and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein field equations*, Cambridgge University Press (1980).

[11] N. Gürlebeck *Phy. Rev. D*, **90**, 024041 (2014)

[12] A. Komar, *Phys. Rev.* **Vol. 113, No. 3**, 934 (1959).

[13] R. C. Tolman, *Phys. Rev. Second Series* **Vol. 35, No. 8**, 875 (1930).

[14] J.L. Hernández-Pastora, J. Martín *Gen. Rel. and Grav.*. **26**, 877 (1994).

[15] J.L. Hernández-Pastora, *Ph. D. Relativistic gravitational fields close to Schwarzschild solution*. Universidad de Salamanca. (1996).

[16] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology*, Wiley & Sons, New York (1972).

[17] W. Tulczyjew, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **Vol. 18**, 393 (1959).

[18] L. Bel *Gen. Relat. Gravit.* **Vol. 1**, 337 (1971).

R. Geroch, *J. Math. Phys.* **Vol. 12**, 918 (1971).

[19] L. Bel and J.C. Escard *Acc. Nazionale dei Lince*. **Ser VIII, vol XVI, fasc. 6** (1966)

L. Bel *J. Math. Phys.* **Vol. 10, No. 4**, 337 (1969)

L. Bel *Gen. Relat. Gravt.* **Vol. 1, No. 8**, 337 (1971)

[20] R.P. Kerr and E.T. Newman, *J. Math. Phys.* **Vol. 6**, 918 (1965).

[21] J.A. Cabezas, J. Martín, A. Molina and E. Ruiz *Gen. Relat. Gravit.* **Vol.39**, 707 (2007)

arXiv: gr-qc/0611013 v1, 2 Nov 2006

[22] J.L. Hernández-Pastora, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **27**, 045006 (2010).

[23] L. Landau and E. Lifchitz, *Théorie des Champs*, Éditions MIR, Moscou (1970).

L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Pergamon, Oxford (1971).

[24] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler (MTW), *Gravitation*, Freeman, San Francisco (1973).